

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/646,514 08/22/2003 William C. Neubauer 28363/36886A 9661 06/09/2004 4743 **EXAMINER** 7590 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP DURAND, PAUL R 6300 SEARS TOWER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 233 S. WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606 3721

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/64	10/646,514 NEUBAUER ET AL.		AL.	
Office	Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit		
		Paul	Durand	3721		
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time mafter SIX (6) MONTH: - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD F ATE OF THIS COMMUN ay be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this com specified above is less than thirty (i is specified above, the maximum of the set or extended period for repli- the Office later than three months dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	e statutory minimum of the statutory minimum o	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tim DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.	
Status						
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) file	ed on				
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Clain	ns					
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-47 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by th	ne Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
• •		•	•	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	• • • •	-		ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C ed Office Action or form P		
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ 1. ☐ Certi 2. ☐ Certi 3. ☐ Copi appli	ment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority fied copies of the priority es of the certified copies cation from the Internation ched detailed Office action	documents have documents have documents have documents back of the priority document Bureau (PCT)	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage	
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Reference				Summary (PTO-413)		
	on's Patent Drawing Review (Fure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or atte			o(s)/Mail Date i Informal Patent Application (PT 	[*] O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,514

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-33, drawn to method and apparatus for folding informational items, classified in class 493, subclass 267.
 - II. Claims 34-41, drawn to a folding machine, classified in class 493, subclass 434.
 - III. Claims 42-47, drawn to modular folding apparatus, classified in class 493, subclass 476.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one that does not require the retaining member or the drive assembly to operate the retaining member.
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this

Application/Control Number: 10/646,514

Art Unit: 3721

case, the process can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one that does not require a mechanism to adjust the elevation of the conveyor.

- 5. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as folding envelopes contained with information and indicia applied to it. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Embodiment shown in Figures 2, 2A-2E

Species II: Embodiment shown in Figures 3, 3A-3J

Species III: Embodiment shown in Figures 4A-4G

Species IV: Embodiment shown in Figures 4H

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/646,514

Art Unit: 3721

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/646,514

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 703-305-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800, Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Durand June 7, 2004

SCOTT A. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER